

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2022 February ; 216: 106034. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2021.106034.

Vitamin D kinetics in nonpregnant and pregnant women after a single oral dose of trideuterated vitamin D³

Cora M. Besta, **Robert Sherwood**b, **Janet A. Novotny**^c , **Sheng Zhang**b, **Eva K. Pressman**d, **Kimberly O. O'Brien**^a

aDivision of Nutritional Sciences, Cornell University, 244 Garden Avenue, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

bProteomics and Metabolomics Facility, Cornell Institute of Biotechnology, 130 Biotechnology Building, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

^cBeltsville Human Nutrition Research Center, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Bldg. 307-C, Rm 117, BARC-East, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA

^dDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, 601 Elmwood Avenue, Rochester, NY 14642, USA

Abstract

The plasma pool of the hormone 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D $(1,25(OH)₂D)$ is increased throughout most of human pregnancy. Mechanisms behind this adaptation are unclear, in part due to limited data on vitamin D kinetics during pregnancy. Stable isotopes make it possible to study vitamin D kinetics in vulnerable study populations like pregnant women. We conducted a pilot study of vitamin D kinetics in nonpregnant and pregnant women. We evaluated a clinical protocol and developed analytical methods to assess the serum appearance and disappearance of trideuterated vitamin D₃ (d3-vitamin D₃) and trideuterated 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ (d3-25(OH)D₃) after a single oral dose of 25 μg of $[6,19,19^{-2}H]$ -vitamin D₃ (d3-vitamin D₃). Blood was collected at baseline and 2, 4, 6, 24, 168, 264, and 456 hours post-dosing. We then described the serum kinetic profiles of d3-vitamin D_3 and d3-25(OH) D_3 in nonpregnant and pregnant women. Serum kinetic profiles of d3-vitamin D_3 and d3-25(OH) D_3 followed a time course in line with previous pharmacokinetic studies. There was marked variability between participants in the AUC of $d3-25(OH)D_3$ over the 20-day study period. This AUC of $d3-25(OH)D_3$ was positively correlated with the serum DBP concentration, which was higher in pregnant compared with nonpregnant women. The mean serum

Janet Novotny: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing

Sheng Zhang: Methodology, Resources, Writing – review & editing

Corresponding author: Kimberly O. O'Brien, 230 Savage Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA, Tel +1 607 255-3743, Fax +1 607 255-1033, koo4@cornell.edu.

AUTHOR STATEMENT

Cora Best: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Visualization, Writing – original draft **Robert Sherwood:** Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing

Eva Pressman: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing

Kimberly O'Brien: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

vitamin D; cholecalciferol; pharmacokinetics; pregnancy

the significance of elevated DBP concentration during pregnancy.

1. INTRODUCTION

Human pregnancy is associated with adaptations in vitamin D metabolism including a 100-150% increase in the serum 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D $(1,25(OH)_2D)$ concentration by the second trimester. The serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) concentration, however, remains relatively stable as a function of gestational age despite progressive increase in plasma volume [1]. Whether expansion of the circulating $1,25(OH)_2D$ pool is facilitated by increased hormone production, decreased clearance, or a combination of factors is unclear given the relative absence of data on vitamin D kinetics during pregnancy. There has been one prior study of vitamin D kinetics, specifically the $25(OH)D_3$ serum half-life, during pregnancy [2]. In that study, Jones and colleagues fed Gambian women trideuterated $25(OH)D_3$ and found no significant difference in the half-life of $25(OH)D_3$ between pregnant and nonpregnant women, despite elevated $1,25(OH)_2D$ levels in the pregnant group. Because participants were fed deuterated $25(OH)D_3$, any potential differences in kinetics (for example in absorption or clearance) of the parent vitamin D compound by reproductive state could not be addressed.

Stable isotope studies to evaluate vitamin D absorption and turnover may fill gaps in the understanding of vitamin D metabolism not only during pregnancy but also across life stages. Early radioisotope studies showed that the rate and efficiency of hepatic conversion of radiolabeled vitamin D to 25(OH)D were inversely related to vitamin D nutritional status [3, 4]. These data are still cited as a possible explanation for the nonlinear response of serum 25(OH)D concentration to vitamin D intake and for the inverse relationship between baseline serum 25(OH)D concentration and 25(OH)D response to supplementation [5]. However, the factors that influence 25(OH)D formation efficiency and underlying mechanisms have not been fully elucidated.

Previous pharmacokinetic studies of a single oral dose of vitamin D either administered radiolabeled vitamin D_3 [4, 6–10] or assessed the change in serum vitamin D and/or 25(OH)D concentration following a large supplemental dose [11–18]. There are several limitations to these approaches. First, neither technique is suitable for use in vulnerable groups like pregnant women. In addition, large doses have the potential to perturb steady state vitamin D metabolism and thereby alter tissue distribution and elimination of vitamin D. A stable isotope technique instead may avoid perturbing normal metabolism but requires capacity to measure small quantities of vitamin D in serum or plasma.

Advances in mass spectrometry have enabled the possibility to use stable isotope techniques to safely trace vitamin D metabolism. We conducted a pilot study of vitamin D kinetics after a single oral dose of [6,19,19-²H]-vitamin D₃ (d3-vitamin D₃). Our study objectives were to evaluate a clinical protocol and develop analytical methods to assess the serum appearance and disappearance of labeled vitamin D_3 and labeled 25(OH) D_3 after this single oral dose of d3-vitamin D_3 and to describe the serum kinetics of the d3-vitamin D_3 and d3-25(OH) D_3 in nonpregnant and pregnant women.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Participants

Six nonpregnant, nonlactating women and 4 pregnant Caucasian women, aged 19-35 y, were recruited from the University of Rochester Medical Center (Rochester, NY) and surrounding community. Study visits were conducted between November 2015 and June 2016. Eligible participants had a current or pre-pregnancy BMI 28 kg/m^2 . Pregnant participants were carrying a single fetus and were 20 to < 36 weeks pregnant at entry into the 20-day study. Participants agreed to refrain from travel and use of tanning salons during the study. Nonpregnant participants agreed to discontinue use of any dietary supplements during the study, whereas pregnant participants continued to ingest their prenatal supplement as recommended except on the day of isotope dose administration. Participants were ineligible if they had diabetes, current gestational diabetes, other endocrine disorders, malabsorption disease, eating disorders, HIV infection, pregnancy hypertension, elevated diastolic blood pressure (> 110 mm/Hg), history of substance abuse, or were using steroids or medications that influence vitamin D or calcium homeostasis. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at the University of Rochester and Cornell University before registration with clinicaltrials.gov ([NCT02705287\)](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02705287). All participants provided written informed consent.

2.2. Dose Preparation

Thirty-five μg of trideuterated [6,19,19-²H]-vitamin D₃ (d3-vitamin D₃) (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to 700 μL of commercial soybean oil in an amber glass vial and vortexed to mix thoroughly. Each dose of d3-vitamin D_3 was prepared individually in a research kitchen at Cornell University on the day before dosing. The dose was stored at −20°C and transported on ice the next morning from Ithaca, NY to Rochester, NY.

2.3. Study Protocol

Participants came to the Clinical Research Center (CRC) at the University of Rochester Medical Center (URMC) on the morning of study day 1 (D1) in the fasted stated. Height, weight, and blood pressure were obtained. A urine sample was collected from nonpregnant participants for a urine pregnancy test. A saline lock intravenous catheter was placed, and baseline blood was obtained. Participants were served a standardized breakfast of toast with unfortified margarine, sliced fruit, and a selection of beverages. Immediately prior to administration, the dose was brought to room temperature and vortexed to mix. Using a pipette, a study investigator dispensed 500 μL of the 0.05 μg/μL isotope solution (a total dose of 25 μg (1,000 International Units (IU)) of d3-vitamin D_3) in two aliquots onto the

toast. The entire dose was consumed under direct observation. To rinse the pipette tip, an additional 500 μL of unlabeled soybean oil was drawn up then dispensed onto the toast. The remainder of the breakfast was consumed under direct observation.

Participants spent the following 6 hours post-dosing in their exam room at the CRC. Lunch and optional mid-morning and afternoon snacks were served at set times from a standardized menu. Dietary intake while in the CRC was estimated by weighing the foods before and after snack and lunch times. Total nutrient intakes were determined using the Nutrition Data System for Research (NDSR 2015, University of Minnesota). A non-fasted blood sample was obtained at 2h, 4h, 6h, 24h, 168h, 264h, and 456h post-dosing. At two points during the study (D1 and D20), resistance and reactance were measured by a bioelectrical impedance analyzer (Quantum X, RJL Systems).

2.4. Laboratory Analysis

Total serum 25(OH)D concentrations at baseline, 2h, 4h, 6h, 24h, 168h, 264h, and 456h were measured by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) at a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA)-certified lab at the URMC. Total serum $1,25(OH)₂D$ concentrations at baseline, 168h, and 456h were measured by LC-MS/MS at a URMC reference lab (ARUP Laboratories), and the molar ratio of $1,25(OH)_{2}D$ to 25(OH)D was calculated for each of these time points. A serum comprehensive metabolic panel at baseline and 456h was measured at the URMC clinical lab. Blood was collected in an EDTA tube or heparin tube and analyzed for intact PTH or whole blood ionized calcium, respectively, at baseline and 456h at the URMC lab. Serum vitamin D binding protein (DBP) at baseline and 456h was measured using a commercial ELISA (Immundiagnostik AG), and the molar ratio of $1,25(OH)₂D$ to DBP was calculated for each of these time points. Free serum 25(OH)D at baseline was measured by ELISA (Future Diagnostics B.V.). The percent free 25(OH)D at baseline was calculated by dividing the measured free 25(OH)D concentration by total 25(OH)D concentration (quantified by LC-MS/MS in the clinical lab) and multiplying by 100. Serum $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ at baseline was measured at an external laboratory with an LC-MS/MS method that simultaneously quantifies $25(OHD)_{3}$ [19]. The molar ratio of $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ to $25(OH)D_3$ at baseline was calculated from these data.

2.5. Preparation of calibrators and sample pretreatment

Serum was isolated from each post-dosing blood sample and kept at −80°C or on dry ice during transport until preparation and analysis at the Cornell Proteomics and Metabolomics Core Facility. For sample pretreatment, 100 μL of serum in a polypropylene microcentrifuge tube was spiked with internal standards, 1.25 ng of d6-vitamin D_3 ([26,26,26,27,27,27-²H]-vitamin D₃, Chemaphor) and 0.3125 ng of d6-25(OH)D₃ $(26,26,26,27,27,27-2H]-25(OH)D_3$, Chemaphor). Samples were vortexed to mix, spun down in a centrifuge, incubated for 30 min in the dark at room temperature, and stored overnight at −20°C.

Samples were thawed at room temperature the following morning. All solvents were LC-MS grade unless specified otherwise. Serum proteins were precipitated with 200 μL of acetonitrile (Fisher-Scientific) and vortexed for 1 min at 1800 rpm. This was followed by

sequential addition of 100 μL of Milli-Q deionized water (Millipore), 400 μL of methanol (Fisher Scientific), and 100 μL of chloroform (ACS grade, Fisher Scientific). Samples were vortexed for 5 min at 1200 rpm, centrifuged for 2 min at 16000 g, and the supernatant was collected in a clean polypropylene microcentrifuge tube. To rinse the protein pellet, 400 μL of methanol was added to the original sample, which was vortexed for 5 min at 1200 rpm and centrifuged for 2 min at 16000 g. The supernatants were pooled and evaporated to dryness in a vacuum concentrator.

2.6. Liquid-liquid extraction and derivatization

Dried sample residues were reconstituted with 200 μL of ethyl acetate (Sequencing grade, Fisher Scientific), vortexed to mix, and spun down before addition of 100 μL each of water and 0.4 M potassium phosphate dibasic (ACS grade, Sigma-Aldrich) in water. Samples were vortexed for 5 min at 1800 rpm, centrifuged for 2 min at 16000 g, and the organic layer was collected. After removing the organic layer, 200 μL of ethyl acetate was added to the original sample, which was vortexed and centrifuged under the same conditions. The organic layers were pooled and centrifuged for 1 min at 16000 g. Any aqueous layer was removed by pipette and discarded, and the extracts were then dried in a vacuum concentrator. Extracts were then reconstituted and redried from 50 μL of acetonitrile (Fisher-Scientific) two times prior to derivatization.

A solution of 4-Phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione (PTAD) (Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared to 0.75 mg/mL (w/v) in anhydrous acetonitrile (DNA synthesis grade, Applied Biosystems). 75 μL was added to extracts, which were vortexed for 1 min at 1600 rpm, spun down, and incubated at room temperature in the dark in a desiccator for 45 min. An additional 25 μL of the PTAD solution was added (no mixing) after 25 min of incubation. The reaction was quenched at 45 min by addition of 100 μL of methanol, and extracts were vortexed to mix, spun down, and dried in a vacuum concentrator.

2.7. LC-MS/MS and quantitation

The extracts were reconstituted in 60 μL of methanol, vortexed for 1 min at 1600 rpm, and centrifuged to spin down. 50 μL was transferred to polypropylene autosampler vials (SUNSRI) for analysis. Analytes were separated by HPLC using a Dionex UltiMate3000 with an Ultra C8 column (5 μ m, 100 Å, 1 \times 150 mm, Restek) and binary solvent system using an injection volume of 15 μL (25% of the original sample). Solvent A was 10% methanol / 0.2% glacial acetic acid (HPLC grade, Fisher-Scientific) in water, and solvent B was 0.2% glacial acetic acid in methanol. The linear binary gradient elution program was as follows: 65-65-100-100-65-65 % solvent B, 0-3-20-25-26-36 minutes, entire column effluent to mass spectrometer. MS/MS quantitation (QTRAP 4000, Sciex) was performed in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode (Table 1).

Analyte concentrations were quantified using 6-point calibration curves generated with each run. The calibration curves included a blank (matrix control) and 5 calibrators with calibration points at 0.0, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 2.4, and 4.0 ng/mL for d3-vitamin D_3 and 0.0, 0.2, 0.6, 1.2, 2.4, and 4.0 ng/mL for d3-25(OH)D₃. The lower limit of detection (LLOD) was defined as the lowest amount/concentration with an analytical signal to noise ratio of 3:1. The LLOD

was 1.5 pg (0.06 ng/mL) for d3-vitamin D_3 and 0.5 pg (0.02 ng/mL) for d3-25(OH) D_3 . All observations below the LLOD were set to zero. The lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) was defined by a signal to noise ratio of 10:1. The LLOQ was 5.0 pg (0.2 ng/mL) for d3-vitamin D_3 and 1.7 pg (0.07 ng/mL) for d3-25(OH) D_3 . For d3-vitamin D_3 , four observations were above the LLOD but below the LLOQ, so these values were set to half of the LLOQ. Prior to analyses, fresh serum from a healthy nonpregnant nonlactating Caucasian woman of reproductive age was spiked with the analytes and frozen in aliquots to be used as an in-house control. Based on this control, at a concentration of 3-4 ng/mL, the between run coefficient of variation was 8.6% for d3-vitamin D_3 and 15.6% for d3-25(OH) D_3 . Unlabeled, native vitamin D_3 and $25(OH)D_3$ were also quantified using the same calibration curves. Although native serum $25(OH)D_3$ concentrations exceeded the calibration range, there was good agreement between this serum $25(OH)D₃$ concentration and the result from the URMC clinical lab $(r = 0.74;$ Figure 1). Only the clinical lab results were used for statistical analyses.

2.8. Pharmacokinetic parameters

To correct for variability in compartment size, concentrations of serum d3-vitamin D_3 and $d3-25(OH)D_3$ were normalized to mean plasma volume using the equation:

> $Concentration \times Plasma$ Volume Sample Mean Plasma Volume

Plasma volume was estimated from height, weight, and, when applicable, gestational age at study baseline using published equations [20]. However, since there is no widely accepted method for estimating plasma volume during pregnancy, we also normalized the tracer concentrations to mean blood volume. Blood volume was estimated as 65 mL/kg for nonpregnant participants and 70 mL/kg for pregnant participants [20]. Finally, because plasma and blood volumes could not be measured directly, calculation of pharmacokinetic parameters and statistical analyses were conducted with both the uncorrected and corrected concentrations.

Pharmacokinetic parameters were obtained for each subject individually. Parameters included maximum concentration observed (C_{max}) , the time to maximum concentration (t_{max}) , and the area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) of serum d3-vitamin D_3 and d3-25(OH) D_3 . The AUC of d3-vitamin D_3 from 0 to 24h (AUC_{0-24h} of d3-D₃) and the AUC of d3-25(OH) D_3 from 0 to 456h (AUC_{0-456h} of d3-25(OH) D_3) were approximated using the linear trapezoidal method. The serum half-life of $25(OH)D₃$ was estimated from the slope of the line $(-k_e)$ fit to the plot of the natural log concentrations of d3-25(OH) D_3 at 168h, 264h, and 456h and the following equation, assuming first-order reaction kinetics [5, 21]:

$$
t_{1/2}=\frac{\ln(2)}{k_e}
$$

Percent of dose recovered was calculated for each subject individually. The serum concentrations of d3-vitamin D_3 and d3-25(OH) D_3 were multiplied by the subject's plasma volume to give the total mass of each circulating at each time point. Mass values were

converted to nmol and then were used to calculate fraction of dose recovered from the molar equivalent of the dose.

2.9. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed with SAS 9.4 and JMP Pro 13 (SAS Institute Inc.). Differences in subject characteristics and kinetic parameters by reproductive state were tested with 2-sample *t*-tests. Potential predictors of the $AUC_{0.24h}$ of d3-D₃, $AUC_{0.456h}$ of d3-25(OH)D₃, and the $25(OH)D_3$ half-life including serum vitamin D_3 , total $25(OH)D$, free $25(OH)D$, percent free 25(OH)D, DBP, PTH, 1,25(OH)₂D, the molar ratio of 1,25(OH)₂D to DBP, $24,25(OH)₂D$, and ionized calcium, dietary calcium intake, GFR, current or pre-pregnancy BMI, and body fat percentage (in nonpregnant participants only) were explored using linear regression. A two-sided alpha $= 0.05$ was used to define statistical significance.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Participant Characteristics

Mean age was 26.4 years and was not different between reproductive groups (Table 2). Three nonpregnant participants reported current use of hormonal birth control pills. Two were using a combination birth control with estrogen and progestin, and 1 was using a progestin-only pill. The other 3 nonpregnant participants were not on birth control or other medications. Two pregnant women were studied during the 2nd trimester (D1 gestational age: 22 wk/1 d and 22 wk/3 d), and two were studied during the $3rd$ trimester (D1 gestational age: 29 wk/6 d and 30 wk/0 d). The pregnant participants did not report taking any medications, but all reported using a daily prenatal supplement containing either 400 IU $(n=2)$, 600 IU, or 1,000 IU of vitamin D₃, which they did not ingest on the day of isotope dose administration.

No laboratory indicators changed significantly within participants during the 20-day study. For this reason, the average of all the repeated measures is shown in Table 2 and was used in statistical analyses. Total serum 25(OH)D concentration tended to be higher in pregnant participants, and the difference between groups approached significance $(P =$ 0.07). In addition, pregnant participants had significantly higher serum $1,25(OH)₂D$ and DBP, whereas their PTH and percent free 25(OH)D were significantly lower. Mean serum $24,25(OH)_2D_3$ was lower in pregnant participants despite higher $25(OH)D_3$, and, as a result, the molar ratio of $24,25(OH)_{2}D_{3}$ to $25(OH)D_{3}$ was significantly lower in the pregnant group. As expected, glomerular filtration rate (GFR) tended to be higher in pregnant participants ($P = 0.06$).

3.2. Serum kinetic profiles of d3-vitamin D3 and d3-25(OH)D³

3.2.1. Time course of serum kinetic profiles—Figure 2 shows the mean \pm SD blood volume (BV) corrected concentrations of d3-D₃ and d3-25(OH)D₃ over time in each reproductive group, and Figure 3 shows the serum kinetic profiles for each participant. In 70% of participants ($n = 7$), d3-D₃ was first detected at 4h post-dosing. It was evident in the 2h sample in two nonpregnant participants and not observed until 6h in one pregnant subject. The observed t_{max} of d3-D₃ occurred at either 6h or 24h post-dosing with no apparent

relationship between t_{max} and reproductive state. The d3-D₃ was no longer detectable by 168h (D8) except in one pregnant participant in whom a small amount (above the LLOD but below the LLOQ) remained.

Trideuterated $25(OH)D_3$ (d3-25(OH)D₃) was first observed in the 6h post-dosing sample in all nonpregnant participants. In contrast, it was first detected in the 24h sample in all pregnant participants except one in whom it was detected earlier at the 4h time point. The t_{max} of d3-25(OH) D_3 occurred at 168h (D8) in all but 2 participants and did not appear to vary by reproductive state. In nonpregnant participant 6 (NP6), the t_{max} of $d3-25(OH)D_3$ occurred at 264h (D12), and, as a result, we had an especially limited view of d3-25(OH) D_3 elimination in this participant. We estimated the half-life with the same methods for all participants and, when relevant, conducted sensitivity data analysis excluding this participant. The d3-25(OH) D_3 was quantifiable (>0.07 ng/mL) in the final post-dosing sample at 456h (D20) in all participants.

3.2.2. Maximum concentrations observed (Cmax)—Correcting for blood volume (BV) or plasma volume (PV) magnified the differences in tracer concentrations between nonpregnant and pregnant women, with PV having the greatest effect. For this reason, BV corrected concentrations were considered the primary results. There was significant between-person variability in the BV corrected C_{max} of d3-vitamin D_3 , which ranged from 1.03 to 2.98 ng/mL (Table 3). The mean was 1.62 ng/mL with no significant difference between groups. When corrected for plasma volume, this C_{max} of d3-vitamin D3 was statistically significantly higher in pregnant women (Table 3). The BV corrected C_{max} of d3-25(OH)D₃ ranged from 0.374 to 1.29 ng/mL (Table 3). The mean was 0.846 ng/mL, and there was no significant difference between group means regardless of whether concentrations were uncorrected or corrected.

3.2.3. Percent of dose recovered—The percent of dose recovered for the maximum observed combined concentration of $d3-D_3$ and $d3-25(OH)D_3$ was 20.8 ± 4.1 for pregnant subjects and 29.0 ± 8.5 for nonpregnant subjects (mean \pm SD). The percent of dose recovered ranged from 17.0 to 28.5 for pregnant subjects and from 18.1 to 38.6 for nonpregnant subjects. Calculation of the percent of dose recovered provides a low-end estimate of bioavailability but tends to underestimate bioavailability. The percent of dose recovered as vitamin D_3 ranged from 11.2 to 20.8 for pregnant and 15.6 to 33.7 for nonpregnant, while the percent of dose recovered as $25(OH)D_3$ ranged from 3.5 to 12.8 for pregnant and 6.3 to 16.0 for nonpregnant.

3.2.4. Area under the concentration-time curve (AUC)—The mean AUC of each analyte in all participants combined and by reproductive state is shown in Table 3. BV corrected AU_{0-24h} of d3-vitamin D₃ ranged from 17.8 to 39.5 ng/mL•h, The mean was 26.7 ng/mL•h with no difference between groups. The BV corrected AU_{0-456h} of d3-25(OH) $D₃$ was more variable than the AUC of d3-vitamin D₃. It ranged from 107 to 443 ng/mL•h. with a mean of 295 ng/mL•h that did not differ between groups. Because a more complete serum concentration-time curve was obtained for $d3-25(OH)D_3$ (rather than $d3$ -vitamin D_3), we explored potential predictors of the $AUC_{0.456h}$ of d3-25(OH)D₃. This outcome was not different between groups, so all observations were combined to maximize statistical power.

In simple linear regression models, the serum DBP concentration was the only statistically significant predictor of the $AUC_{0.456h}$ of d3-25(OH)D₃. Each 1 SD (123 mg/L) increase in serum DBP was associated with an 85 (95% CI: 10 to 159) ng/mL•h greater $AUC_{0.456h}$ of d3-25(OH)D₃ (R^2 = 0.39).

3.2.5. Serum half-life of $25(OH)D_3$ **—Mean** \pm **SD serum half-life of** $25(OH)D_3$ **was** 13.6 \pm 6.1 days in nonpregnant women and 18.8 \pm 7.5 days in pregnant women (*p*-fordifference = 0.26). The statistically significant predictors of the $25(OH)D₃$ half-life in simple linear regression models were total serum 25(OH)D concentration (β = 0.42; SE = 0.17; P = 0.041) and PTH concentration (β = -0.36; SE = 0.15; P = 0.047). When both were included in a multivariable linear regression model, only total 25(OH)D remained significant because these biomarkers were inversely correlated. These results were not materially different when nonpregnant participant 6 was excluded from analyses.

4. DISCUSSION

This pilot study demonstrated that a single oral dose of $25 \mu g$ (1,000 IU) of trideuterated vitamin D_3 (d3-vitamin D_3) can be used to assess the serum appearance and disappearance of both d3-vitamin D_3 and d3-25(OH) D_3 in nonpregnant and pregnant women. The serum kinetic profiles of d3-vitamin D_3 and d3-25(OH) D_3 followed a time course consistent with previous pharmacokinetic studies. There was marked variability between participants in the AUC of $d3-25(OH)D_3$ over the 20-day study period. The primary predictor of this AUC of $d3-25(OH)D_3$ was the serum DBP concentration, which was higher in pregnant compared with nonpregnant women. The mean serum half-life of $25(OH)D₃$ was longer but not significantly different in the pregnant group.

The analytical methods developed for this pilot study provided capacity to use a relatively small, 25 μ g oral dose of trideuterated vitamin D_3 . We know of one other published method that used liquid-liquid extraction and LC-MS/MS to simultaneously quantify vitamin D_3 and $25(OH)D_3$ in serum, and the reported lower limit of quantitation was 2 ng/mL for vitamin D_3 and 1 ng/mL for 25(OH) D_3 [22]. Dimitris et al. adapted this method and reported a lower limit of quantitation of 1.0 ng/mL for vitamin D_3 [23]. Based on initial evaluation, our method has high analytical sensitivity. The lower limit of quantitation was 5.0 pg (0.2 ng/mL) for d3-vitamin D_3 and 1.7 pg (0.07 ng/mL) for d3-25(OH) D_3 .

The t_{max} of d3-vitamin D_3 occurred at 6h or 24h post-dosing and seemed to be unrelated to reproductive state. While there was significant between-person variability in the C_{max} of $d3$ -vitamin D_3 , this should be interpreted with caution, as it may indicate variability in the rate rather than efficiency of absorption. Several previous studies of a single oral dose of vitamin D_3 reported the t_{max} of vitamin D_3 was between 6 and 16 hours, regardless of the amount of radiotracer [6, 9, 10, 24] or supplement [11, 14] administered. Thus, it is likely that, due to the blood sampling protocol, we did not observe the true C_{max} of d3-vitamin D_3 in many participants. To ensure observation of the C_{max} of d3-vitamin D_3 in future research will require frequent serial blood sampling during a dosing visit with a duration of longer than 12 hours.

In these pregnant and nonpregnant women, $d3-25(OHD)$ ₃ peaked in the Day 8 sample following consumption of d3-vitamin D_3 , and this t_{max} is consistent with results from previous pharmacokinetic studies of oral vitamin D in both nonpregnant [12, 13, 18] and pregnant individuals [18]. Upon administering a single oral dose of 70,000 IU of vitamin D3, Roth and colleagues observed subtle differences by reproductive state in the serum 25(OH)D response [18]. Serum 25(OH)D concentration rose more rapidly and peaked slightly earlier in nonpregnant relative to pregnant women. Likewise, in our study, the $d3-25(OH)D₃$ first appeared at 6h post-dosing in all nonpregnant participants but was only detected in 1 pregnant participant at that early time point. This may indicate that delivery of vitamin D_3 to the liver is slower in pregnant women, perhaps due to higher serum DBP concentration during pregnancy. Note that estrogen-containing contraception is associated with modestly elevated serum DBP concentration [25, 26], and 3 nonpregnant participants were using hormonal birth control pills [combination estrogen-progestin $(n = 2)$ and progestin only $(n = 1)$ $= 1$]. This may have attenuated differences in the pharmacokinetic parameters between the reproductive groups.

The maximum percent of dose recovered in serum at any time point ranged from 17-39%, when accounting for both d3-vitamin D_3 and d3-25(OH) D_3 . This range is in good accord with the findings of Davies et al. [9]. After administering a ${}^{14}C$ -labeled dose of vitamin D3 orally, these authors recovered approximately 3-5.4% of the dose per liter of serum for healthy control subjects, which would be equivalent to 9-25% of dose if corrected for total serum volume. The percent of the dose recovered is an underestimation that can provide a low-end estimate of bioavailability. Davies et al. determined net absorption of the 14 C-vitamin D₃ dose by recovering radioactivity in the feces to find that net absorption ranged from 70-99% of the dose, which is much higher than the serum recovery of 9-25% of dose. This contrast between the serum recovery and actual net absorption values based on fecal recovery illustrates the tendency for serum or plasma peak values to underestimate bioavailability.

The AUC_{0-456h} of d3-25(OH)D₃ was highly variable between individuals and was primarily predicted by the serum DBP concentration. Higher DBP concentration was associated with greater AUC of d3-25(OH)D3, and there was also a positive association between DBP and the AUC_{0-24h} of d3-vitamin D_3 that approached significance (data not shown). These findings align with the known role of DBP in maintaining a circulating reservoir of vitamin D and its metabolites. DBP prevents plasma loss of vitamin D and 25(OH)D due to metabolism and excretion. The first study of DBP-null mice showed that relative to WT, mice lacking DBP experienced more rapid yet less efficient hepatic clearance of intravenous radiolabeled vitamin D_3 [27]. Serum DBP concentration would be expected to influence the kinetics of not only injected but also dietary vitamin D_3 , as a large portion of vitamin D_3 that enters the circulation on chylomicrons is transferred to DBP prior to reaching the liver [5, 28]. The serum half-life of intravenous radiolabeled $25(OH)D₃$ also was significantly shorter in the DBP-nulls. Overall, our results indicate that higher DBP concentration is associated with increased total plasma exposure to 25(OH)D, a finding that corroborates vitamin D metabolic studies in animals and supports the validity of our stable isotope method for investigating vitamin D metabolism in humans.

We estimated the serum half-life of $25(OH)D_3$, and the mean half-life (overall and within reproductive group) fell within the expected range of 2 to 3 weeks [29, 30]. The $25(OH)D₃$ half-life was longer but not significantly different in pregnant women, which corroborates the one prior study of this outcome during pregnancy [2]. However, the difference we observed between reproductive groups disappeared after adjustment for total serum 25(OH)D concentration, which tended to be higher in the pregnant women who were taking prenatal supplements containing vitamin D_3 . In our study, 25(OH) D_3 half-life was positively associated with total serum 25(OH)D, and this association has been observed in some [2, 3, 31] but not all [30, 32] previous studies. Disagreement in the literature may be due to methodology, including study duration. For example, in our study, it would have been preferable to have more data points to confidently define the terminal slope of disappearance in each participant. Thus, future studies should follow the labeled 25(OH)D for longer than 19 days to allow for accurate estimation of the terminal half-life. Our pilot data suggest this will be feasible when using a 25 μ g oral dose of trideuterated vitamin D₃. In addition, a larger study with sufficient power is required. Based on our current results, we calculate that a sample size of 28 women in each group will be required to detect a statistically significant difference in $25(OH)D_3$ half-life between pregnant and nonpregnant women. To better match the groups according to vitamin D exposure, future studies may consider enrolling nonpregnant participants who are consuming a low dose vitamin D_3 supplement or embedding a tracer study within a controlled feeding study. Future studies should also consider conducting all individual pharmacokinetic studies during the months when sun exposure is minimal.

Emerging data indicate that pregnancy is a state of reduced 25(OH)D catabolism [2, 33, 34]. Serum 25(OH)D is catabolized by the 24-hydroxylase enzyme to $24.25(OH)_{2}D$, and we detected a statistically significantly lower molar ratio of $24,25(OH)_{2}D_{3}$ to $25(OH)D_{3}$ in pregnant compared with nonpregnant women. Two recent studies and one earlier study also observed that serum $24,25(OH)_{2}D$ concentration is low in the pregnant compared with the nonpregnant state [2, 33, 35]. Reduced 25(OH)D catabolism during pregnancy may help to meet the increased demand for $1,25(OH)_{2}D$ and calcium and may explain why the 25(OH)D half-life is either longer or not different during pregnancy despite increased 1,25(OH)₂D concentration throughout most of gestation. In addition to the possible buffering effect of elevated DBP, reduced 25(OH)D catabolism during pregnancy may stem from suppression of the 24-hydroxylase by feedback regulation or reproductive hormones such as PTH-related peptide and estrogen [36].

The conclusions that can be drawn from our pilot study have limitations, many of which relate to the blood sampling protocol. However, one of the objectives of the pilot to determine an optimal protocol for larger follow up studies was achieved. Future studies of a single oral dose of labeled vitamin D should collect blood several times during the first day and the first week post-dosing and follow labeled 25(OH)D for longer than 19 days to obtain complete serum concentration-time curves and terminal slopes for vitamin D and 25(OH)D. In addition, a future study should more comprehensively evaluate the sensitivity and precision of the mass spectrometry assay developed for this pilot. Whereas an oral dose of labeled vitamin D enables evaluation of vitamin D turnover, a potential limitation of this design is that slow release of labeled vitamin D from extravascular storage depots during the

study period might contribute to the labeled 25(OH)D pool and distort the estimate of the 25(OH)D half-life. However, we detected no d3-vitamin D_3 in the serum between days 8 and 20, which suggests that minimal labeled vitamin D_3 was released from extrahepatic storage during the study period. Regarding hepatic storage, autopsy studies indicate that vitamin D does not reside for long in the liver, and only ~1% of a dose of radiolabeled vitamin D remains in the liver after 1 week [37].

4.1. Conclusions

To summarize, this pilot study demonstrated the feasibility of tracing vitamin D_3 turnover with a stable isotope, and our technique can be applied to learn more about vitamin D_3 metabolism across physiological conditions. Future research should include a more diverse study population and further investigate the influence of serum DBP concentration and related variables on vitamin D kinetics in nonpregnant and pregnant women.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the nursing and nutrition research teams of the University of Rochester Clinical Research Center, the study coordinators in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at the University of Rochester, and the participants for their commitment to this study.

Funding:

This research was funded by the Pilot Studies Program of the Clinical Translational Sciences Institute at the University of Rochester Medical Center and the National Institutes of Health award T32-DK007158. The funding sources were not involved in this research or its publication. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases or the National Institutes of Health.

Abbreviations:

REFERENCES

- [1]. Brannon PM, Picciano MF, Vitamin D in pregnancy and lactation in humans, Annu Rev Nutr, 31 (2011) 89–115. [PubMed: 21756132]
- [2]. Jones KS, Assar S, Prentice A, Schoenmakers I, Vitamin D expenditure is not altered in pregnancy and lactation despite changes in vitamin D metabolite concentrations, Scientific reports, 6 (2016) 26795. [PubMed: 27222109]
- [3]. Mawer EB, Schaefer K, Lumb GA, Stanbury SW, The metabolism of isotopically labelled vitamin D3 in man: the influence of the state of vitamin D nutrition, Clin Sci, 40 (1971) 39–53. [PubMed: 4321723]
- [4]. Krawitt EL, Grundman MJ, Mawer EB, Absorption, hydroxylation, and excretion of vitamin D3 in primary biliary cirrhosis, Lancet, 2 (1977) 1246–1249. [PubMed: 73950]
- [5]. Schoenmakers I, Jones KS, Pharmacology and Pharmacokinetics, in: Feldman D, Pike JW, Bouillon R, Giovanucci E, Goltzman D, Hewison M (Eds.) Vitamin D, Elsevier, London, United Kingdom, 2018, pp. 635–661.
- [6]. Thompson GR, Lewis B, Booth CC, Absorption of vitamin D3-3H in control subjects and patients with intestinal malabsorption, J Clin Invest, 45 (1966) 94–102. [PubMed: 4285212]
- [7]. Avioli LV, Birge S, Lee SW, Slatopolsky E, The metabolic fate of vitamin D3-3H in chronic renal failure, J Clin Invest, 47 (1968) 2239–2252. [PubMed: 4300189]
- [8]. Haddad JG Jr., Rojanasathit S, Acute administration of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in man, J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 42 (1976) 284–290. [PubMed: 177440]
- [9]. Davies M, Mawer EB, Krawitt EL, Comparative absorption of vitamin D3 and 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 in intestinal disease, Gut, 21 (1980) 287–292. [PubMed: 6253363]
- [10]. Leichtmann GA, Bengoa JM, Bolt MJ, Sitrin MD, Intestinal absorption of cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in patients with both Crohn's disease and intestinal resection, Am J Clin Nutr, 54 (1991) 548–552. [PubMed: 1652198]
- [11]. Lo CW, Paris PW, Clemens TL, Nolan J, Holick MF, Vitamin D absorption in healthy subjects and in patients with intestinal malabsorption syndromes, Am J Clin Nutr, 42 (1985) 644–649. [PubMed: 4050723]

- [12]. Holmberg I, Aksnes L, Berlin T, Lindback B, Zemgals J, Lindeke B, Absorption of a pharmacological dose of vitamin D3 from two different lipid vehicles in man: comparison of peanut oil and a medium chain triglyceride, Biopharmaceutics & drug disposition, 11 (1990) 807–815. [PubMed: 2176898]
- [13]. Ilahi M, Armas LA, Heaney RP, Pharmacokinetics of a single, large dose of cholecalciferol, Am J Clin Nutr, 87 (2008) 688–691. [PubMed: 18326608]
- [14]. Denker AE, Lazarus N, Porras A, Ramakrishnan R, Constanzer M, Scott BB, Chavez-Eng C, Woolf E, Maganti L, Larson P, Gottesdiener K, Wagner JA, Bioavailability of alendronate and vitamin D(3) in an alendronate/vitamin D(3) combination tablet, Journal of clinical pharmacology, 51 (2011) 1439–1448. [PubMed: 21148044]
- [15]. Dawson-Hughes B, Harris SS, Palermo NJ, Ceglia L, Rasmussen H, Meal conditions affect the absorption of supplemental vitamin D3 but not the plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D response to supplementation, J Bone Miner Res, 28 (2013) 1778–1783. [PubMed: 23427007]
- [16]. Dawson-Hughes B, Harris SS, Lichtenstein AH, Dolnikowski G, Palermo NJ, Rasmussen H, Dietary fat increases vitamin D-3 absorption, Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 115 (2015) 225–230. [PubMed: 25441954]
- [17]. Jetter A, Egli A, Dawson-Hughes B, Staehelin HB, Stoecklin E, Goessl R, Henschkowski J, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Pharmacokinetics of oral vitamin D(3) and calcifediol, Bone, 59 (2014) 14–19. [PubMed: 24516879]
- [18]. Roth DE, Al Mahmud A, Raqib R, Black RE, Baqui AH, Pharmacokinetics of a single oral dose of vitamin D3 (70,000 IU) in pregnant and non-pregnant women, Nutrition journal, 11 (2012)114. [PubMed: 23268736]
- [19]. Laha TJ, Strathmann FG, Wang Z, de Boer IH, Thummel KE, Hoofnagle AN, Characterizing antibody cross-reactivity for immunoaffinity purification of analytes prior to multiplexed liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, Clin Chem, 58 (2012) 1711–1716. [PubMed: 22968104]
- [20]. Whittaker PG, Barrett JF, Lind T, The erythrocyte incorporation of absorbed non-haem iron in pregnant women, Br J Nutr, 86 (2001) 323–329. [PubMed: 11570984]
- [21]. Vieth R, How to optimize vitamin D supplementation to prevent cancer, based on cellular adaptation and hydroxylase enzymology, Anticancer research, 29 (2009) 3675–3684. [PubMed: 19667164]
- [22]. Adamec J, Jannasch A, Huang J, Hohman E, Fleet JC, Peacock M, Ferruzzi MG, Martin B, Weaver CM, Development and optimization of an LC-MS/MS-based method for simultaneous quantification of vitamin D2, vitamin D3, 25-hydroxyvitamin D2 and 25-hydroxyvitamin D3, Journal of separation science, 34 (2011) 11–20. [PubMed: 21171171]
- [23]. Dimitris MC, Perumal N, Craig-Barnes HA, Leadley M, Mahmud AA, Baqui AH, Roth DE, Effect of weekly high-dose vitamin D3 supplementation on serum cholecalciferol concentrations in pregnant women, J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol, 158 (2016) 76–81. [PubMed: 26784272]
- [24]. Sitrin MD, Bengoa JM, Intestinal absorption of cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in chronic cholestatic liver disease, Am J Clin Nutr, 46 (1987) 1011–1015. [PubMed: 2825501]
- [25]. Bouillon R, Van Assche FA, Van Baelen H, Heyns W, De Moor P, Influence of the vitamin D-binding protein on the serum concentration of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. Significance of the free 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 concentration, J Clin Invest, 67 (1981) 589–596. [PubMed: 6894152]
- [26]. Møller UK, Streym S, Jensen LT, Mosekilde L, Schoenmakers I, Nigdikar S, Rejnmark L, Increased plasma concentrations of vitamin D metabolites and vitamin D binding protein in women using hormonal contraceptives: a cross-sectional study, Nutrients, 5 (2013) 3470–3480. [PubMed: 24013463]
- [27]. Safadi FF, Thornton P, Magiera H, Hollis BW, Gentile M, Haddad JG, Liebhaber SA, Cooke NE, Osteopathy and resistance to vitamin D toxicity in mice null for vitamin D binding protein, J Clin Invest, 103 (1999) 239–251. [PubMed: 9916136]
- [28]. Dueland S, Helgerud P, Pedersen JI, Berg T, Drevon CA, Plasma clearance, transfer, and distribution of vitamin D3 from intestinal lymph, Am J Physiol, 245 (1983) E326–331. [PubMed: 6312805]

- [29]. Jones KS, Schoenmakers I, Bluck LJ, Ding S, Prentice A, Plasma appearance and disappearance of an oral dose of 25-hydroxyvitamin D2 in healthy adults, Br J Nutr, 107 (2012) 1128–1137. [PubMed: 21896243]
- [30]. Jones KS, Assar S, Harnpanich D, Bouillon R, Lambrechts D, Prentice A, Schoenmakers I, 25(OH)D2 half-life is shorter than 25(OH)D3 half-life and is influenced by DBP concentration and genotype, J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 99 (2014) 3373–3381. [PubMed: 24885631]
- [31]. Jones KS, Assar S, Vanderschueren D, Bouillon R, Prentice A, Schoenmakers I, Predictors of 25(OH)D half-life and plasma 25(OH)D concentration in The Gambia and the UK, Osteoporos Int, 26 (2015) 1137–1146. [PubMed: 25278297]
- [32]. Davies M, Heys SE, Selby PL, Berry JL, Mawer EB, Increased catabolism of 25-hydroxyvitamin D in patients with partial gastrectomy and elevated 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D levels. Implications for metabolic bone disease, J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 82 (1997) 209–212. [PubMed: 8989260]
- [33]. Park H, Brannon PM, West AA, Yan J, Jiang X, Perry CA, Malysheva OV, Mehta S, Caudill MA, Vitamin D Metabolism Varies among Women in Different Reproductive States Consuming the Same Intakes of Vitamin D and Related Nutrients, J Nutr, 146 (2016) 1537–1545. [PubMed: 27335139]
- [34]. George JA, Norris SA, Snyman T, Khambule L, Toman M, Crowther NJ, Longitudinal changes in vitamin D and its metabolites in pregnant South Africans, J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol, 212 (2021) 105949. [PubMed: 34242778]
- [35]. Markestad T, Ulstein M, Bassoe HH, Aksnes L, Aarskog D, Vitamin D metabolism in normal and hypoparathyroid pregnancy and lactation. Case report, British journal of obstetrics and gynaecology, 90 (1983) 971–976. [PubMed: 6626495]
- [36]. Kovacs CS, Calcium Metabolism during Pregnancy and Lactation, in: De Groot LJ, Chrousos G, Dungan K, Feingold KR, Grossman A, Hershman JM, Koch C, Korbonits M, McLachlan R, New M, Purnell J, Rebar R, Singer F, Vinik A (Eds.) Endotext, MDText.com, Inc., South Dartmouth (MA), 2000.
- [37]. Mawer EB, Backhouse J, Holman CA, Lumb GA, Stanbury SW, The distribution and storage of vitamin D and its metabolites in human tissues, Clin Sci, 43 (1972) 413–431. [PubMed: 4342673]

HIGHLIGHTS

- **•** Nonpregnant and pregnant women ingested a 25 μg dose of deuterated (d3) vitamin D₃
- We assessed the serum appearance and disappearance of d3-vitamin D₃ and $d3-25(OH)D_3$
- **•** Serum vitamin D binding protein (DBP) concentration was higher in pregnant women
- Serum DBP concentration was positively associated with the d3-25(OH)D₃ AUC

Best et al. Page 17 $70 -$ ۰ ō ö Cornell lab serum 25(OH)D₃, ng/mL 60 O o Õ 50 \bullet 40 ĎO ်8 ٥ 30 ၜ o \overline{O} 20 ٥ 10 0 10 20 30 0 40 50 60

URMC lab serum 25(OH)D₃, ng/mL

Figure 1.

Serum 25(OH)D₃ concentration was measured by LC-MS/MS in each post-dosing sample at both the University of Rochester Medical Center (URMC) CLIA-certified clinical lab and the Cornell University Proteomics and Mass Spectrometry Core Facility. The plot and regression line ($y = 1.0x + 2.3$) show positive correlation ($r = 0.74$) between these two measurement methods ($n = 10$ participants, 69 observations).

70

Best et al. Page 18

Figure 2.

Mean \pm SD blood volume corrected serum concentrations of trideuterated vitamin D_3 (d3-vitamin D_3) (circles with solid line) and d3-25(OH) D_3 (triangles with dashed line) in nonpregnant ($n = 6$) (A) and pregnant ($n = 4$) (B) women from 2 to 456 hours after single oral dosing with 25 μ g of d3-vitamin D₃. Insets show the magnified view of the concentrations between 2 and 24 hours post-dosing.

Best et al. Page 19

Figure 3.

Observed trajectories of blood volume corrected serum concentrations of trideuterated vitamin D_3 (d3-vitamin D_3) (solid lines) and d3-25(OH) D_3 (dashed lines) in nonpregnant (A) and pregnant (B) women from 2 to 456 hours after single oral dosing with 25 μg of d3-vitamin D3. Insets show the magnified view of the concentrations between 2 and 24 hours post-dosing. NP, nonpregnant; P, pregnant.

Table 1.

MRM precursor/product ion transitions for analytes and internal standards

 $a_{\text{[M + H]}}$

Table 2.

Participant characteristics and laboratory indicators

Abbreviations: D1, day 1; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; 1,25(OH)2D, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D; PTH, parathyroid hormone; 25(OH)D, 25 hydroxyvitamin D; 24,25(OH)2D, 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D.

Values are mean ± SD.

* Different significantly from nonpregnant, $P < 0.05$.

^aBlood volume (mL) = weight (kg) × 65 mL if nonpregnant; weight (kg) × 70 mL if pregnant.

 b
Plasma volume (mL) = (height (cm) × B1) + (weight (kg) × B2) + A. Nonpregnant: B1 = 20.2, B2 = 14.9, and A = −1793; ~20 weeks since last menstrual period: B1 = 27.9, B2 = 1.7, and A = −1557; ~28 weeks since last menstrual period: B1 = 25.6, B2 = 5.8, and A = −1040.

 $c_{\text{Values for laboratory indicators are the means of all available time points.}$

d Results from URMC clinical laboratory.

 e Molar ratio.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 3.

Pharmacokinetic parameters of d3-vitamin D₃ and d3-25(OH)D₃

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the concentration-time curve; BV, blood volume; C_{max}, maximum concentration observed; d3-D3, trideuterated vitamin D3; d3-25(OH)D3, trideuterated 25-hydroxyvitamin D3; PV, plasma volume.

Values are mean \pm SD.

* Different from nonpregnant, $P < 0.05$.